



**Photochemical Production of Formaldehyde in Earth's Primitive Atmosphere**

Joseph P. Pinto; G. Randall Gladstone; Yuk Ling Yung

*Science*, New Series, Volume 210, Issue 4466 (Oct. 10, 1980), 183-185.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0036-8075%2819801010%293%3A210%3A4466%3C183%3APPOFIE%3E2.0.CO%3B2-D>

---

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

*Science* is published by American Association for the Advancement of Science. Please contact the publisher for further permissions regarding the use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/aaas.html>.

---

*Science*

©1980 American Association for the Advancement of Science

JSTOR and the JSTOR logo are trademarks of JSTOR, and are Registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. For more information on JSTOR contact [jstor-info@umich.edu](mailto:jstor-info@umich.edu).

©2003 JSTOR

# Reports

## Photochemical Production of Formaldehyde in Earth's Primitive Atmosphere

**Abstract.** Formaldehyde could have been produced by photochemical reactions in Earth's primitive atmosphere, at a time when it consisted mainly of molecular nitrogen, water vapor, carbon dioxide, and trace amounts of molecular hydrogen and carbon monoxide. Removal of formaldehyde from the atmosphere by precipitation can provide a source of organic carbon to the oceans at the rate of  $10^{11}$  moles per year. Subsequent reactions of formaldehyde in primeval aquatic environments would have implications for the abiotic synthesis of complex organic molecules and the origin of life.

The photochemical oxidation of methane ( $\text{CH}_4$ ) is known to be a major source of formaldehyde ( $\text{H}_2\text{CO}$ ) in Earth's atmosphere (1). The presence of  $\text{CH}_4$  and other reduced gases, in abundances greatly exceeding those expected in thermochemical equilibrium, arises from biological activity (2). This report is concerned with the production of  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}$ , by photochemical reactions in the atmosphere, at an early stage in Earth's history before life has originated;  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}$  is one of the simple molecules that might have played a key role in the abiotic synthesis of complex organic molecules on the primitive Earth.


The laboratory synthesis of  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}$  and other important organic molecules has been carried out by a number of workers. The initial mixtures used to simulate the composition of the primitive atmosphere have ranged from highly reducing (3) to slightly oxidizing (4) materials. Although the oxidation state of Earth's atmosphere before life had originated is still highly uncertain, it is generally agreed that it would have been determined by the outgassing history and the composition of volcanic gases. There is strong indirect evidence for a geologically rapid initial degassing of volatiles, rather than a continuous release over the history of the terrestrial planets. Supporting arguments for this view have been presented by Fanale (5) for Earth, by Walker (6) for Earth and Venus, and by McElroy *et al.* (7) for Mars. It is also unlikely that free iron would have been present in the upper mantle for more than  $5 \times 10^8$  years after Earth had formed (8). Once native iron had been removed from the upper mantle by differentiation of the core and mantle, vol-

canic emissions of  $\text{CH}_4$  would have been negligible and the composition of volcanic gases would not differ significantly from that of the present (8). However, the outgassed volatiles may have contained a small fraction (a few percent) of reduced compounds such as  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{CO}$ , in amounts slightly greater than those observed today (6). It has been implicitly assumed by earlier workers that the reduced materials was lost solely through the escape of  $\text{H}_2$  from the exosphere. Our study raises the possibility of the production of reduced organic carbon from this material.


The major atmospheric constituents after Earth had differentiated should be  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{N}_2$ , and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (8), with smaller amounts of reduced gases such as  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{CO}$ . For the purposes of this investigation, we have assumed that the abundances of  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{N}_2$ , and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  are the same as today's and that the abundance of  $\text{H}_2$  was governed by the balance between volcanic release, the escape of hydrogen atoms, and photochemical reactions. The mixing ratio of  $\text{H}_2$  in the atmosphere

was calculated to be of the order of  $10^{-3}$  (9). Except for the absence of a stratospheric thermal inversion, the thermal structure was also taken to be the same as the present. The reactions and rate coefficients used in the photochemical model are presented in Table 1 (10).




In the absence of shielding by  $\text{O}_2$ ,  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  are photolyzed in the troposphere according to the reactions






Hydrogen atoms, formed in reaction J2 react with  $\text{CO}$  to yield formyl radicals ( $\text{HCO}$ ) by




The  $\text{HCO}$  radicals are removed by the following paths (11)



The production of  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}$  from  $\text{CO}_2$  is described by the following reaction sequence



which may be summarized as



In our standard photochemical model, the abundances of the major atmospheric gases are as follows:  $\text{N}_2$ , 0.8 bar;  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.012 bar;  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $2.4 \times 10^{-4}$  bar;  $\text{CO}$ ,  $2.4 \times 10^{-7}$  bar; and  $\text{H}_2$ ,  $8.0 \times 10^{-4}$  bar (12). Vertical profiles of important minor




Fig. 1. Concentrations of important minor species in the standard model. The surface mixing ratios of  $\text{N}_2$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{CO}$ , and  $\text{H}_2$  are 1,  $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ ,  $3 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $3 \times 10^{-7}$ , and  $1 \times 10^{-3}$ , respectively. Except for  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , these gases are well mixed throughout the troposphere. The height-independent eddy diffusion coefficient is  $10^6 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

constituents are shown in Fig. 1. Production and loss rates of HCO radicals and H<sub>2</sub>CO are given in Fig. 2. Most of the H<sub>2</sub>CO ( $\approx 99$  percent) is destroyed by photolysis (reactions J4 and J5). However, a small fraction is incorporated into rain droplets and delivered to the oceans. We calculated the rate of removal of H<sub>2</sub>CO from the atmosphere by precipitation, using the scavenging coefficients of Wofsy (13) for H<sub>2</sub>CO in the present atmosphere. For the standard model the rainout rate of H<sub>2</sub>CO was  $2.8 \times 10^8$  molecule  $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$ . A variety of models were also constructed to study the sensitivity of our results to the choice of the essential input parameters (14).

The atmospheric abundance of CO<sub>2</sub> was controlled by a number of processes, including volcanic release, the weathering of surface rocks, and dissolution in the oceans. It is most likely (15) that the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in the primitive




Fig. 2. Production (P) and loss (L) rates of HCO and H<sub>2</sub>CO in the standard model.

atmosphere was buffered at about  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  bar by the latter two processes. However, recent work (16) suggests a possibly higher concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in the primitive atmosphere. In this case, the production and subsequent rainout rate of H<sub>2</sub>CO could greatly exceed that

calculated in our standard model (14). Our results are also somewhat sensitive to the thermal structure. Knauth and Epstein (17) have suggested that surface temperatures could have reached 340 K during the early Archean ( $3.7 \times 10^9$  years ago). In this calculation, the distribution of relative humidity was held fixed at 50 percent (14).

For the case of an H<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio of  $10^{-3}$ , the concentration of O<sub>2</sub> is exceedingly small. The tropospheric profile of O<sub>2</sub> is governed, in this case, by photochemical equilibrium. Later evolutionary stages may be represented by the models, in which the H<sub>2</sub> abundance has decreased. In addition, the emergence of O<sub>2</sub>, perhaps because of photosynthesis (18), would have curtailed the production of H<sub>2</sub>CO. This would have occurred through reactions such as



The value given for the wet removal of H<sub>2</sub>CO, in the standard model, is sufficient to fill the oceans, at their present volume, to a  $10^{-3}M$  solution in  $10 \times 10^6$  years. At this concentration, H<sub>2</sub>CO in solution may polymerize (19). The results of Ponnampertuma (20) indicate that, in the presence of ultraviolet radiation of wavelengths near 2800 Å, polymerization may take place in a  $3 \times 10^{-4}M$  solution of H<sub>2</sub>CO. Other processes may also have occurred (4). However, this does not alter the conclusion that simple organic molecules could have been delivered to the oceans from photochemical reactions in the atmosphere under weakly reducing conditions. Further laboratory studies should be performed to assess the factors governing the production and stability of H<sub>2</sub>CO in conditions appropriate to the primitive Earth.

JOSEPH P. PINTO

Goddard Institute for Space Studies,  
New York 10025

G. RANDALL GLADSTONE

YUK LING YUNG

California Institute of Technology,  
Pasadena 91125

#### References and Notes

1. S. C. Wofsy, J. C. McConnell, M. B. McElroy, *J. Geophys. Res.* **77**, 447 (1972).
2. J. E. Lovelock and L. Margulis, *Tellus* **26**, 2 (1974).
3. S. L. Miller and H. C. Urey, *Science* **130**, 245 (1959).
4. J. S. Hubbard, J. P. Hardy, N. H. Horowitz, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **68**, 574 (1971); P. H. Abelson, *ibid.* **54**, 1490 (1965).
5. F. P. Fanale, *Chem. Geol.* **8**, 279 (1971).
6. J. C. G. Walker, *Evolution of the Atmosphere* (Macmillan, New York, 1977).
7. M. B. McElroy, T. Y. Kong, Y. L. Yung, *J. Geophys. Res.* **82**, 4379 (1977).
8. H. D. Holland, in *Petrologic Studies—A Volume in Honor of A. G. Buddington*, A. E. J.

Table 1. Reactions and rate coefficients used in the photochemical model. Units for two- and three-body rate constants are cubic centimeters per second and centimeters raised to the sixth power per second, respectively. Globally averaged photodissociation rate constants (per second) are given for 0 and 12 km, respectively. Numbers are values used in the standard model; *T*, absolute temperature; *P*<sub>atm</sub>, atmospheric pressure.

Reaction	Rate constant		Source in (10)
	0 km	12 km	
J1 CO <sub>2</sub> + <i>hν</i> → CO + O	2.3 × 10 <sup>-12</sup>	3.5 × 10 <sup>-11</sup>	a
J2 H <sub>2</sub> O + <i>hν</i> → H + OH	9.3 × 10 <sup>-15</sup>	1.4 × 10 <sup>-9</sup>	a
J3 HCO + <i>hν</i> → H + CO	1.0 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.0 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>	b
J4 H <sub>2</sub> CO + <i>hν</i> → H + HCO	1.8 × 10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.8 × 10 <sup>-5</sup>	c
J5 H <sub>2</sub> CO + <i>hν</i> → H <sub>2</sub> + CO	2.5 × 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2.5 × 10 <sup>-5</sup>	c
J6 H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + <i>hν</i> → OH + OH	5.5 × 10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.7 × 10 <sup>-5</sup>	a
J7 O <sub>2</sub> + <i>hν</i> → O + O	4.1 × 10 <sup>-10</sup>	4.7 × 10 <sup>-10</sup>	a
J8 O <sub>3</sub> + <i>hν</i> → O <sub>2</sub> + O	2.3 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.3 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	a
R1 H <sub>2</sub> + OH → H <sub>2</sub> O + H	1.2 × 10 <sup>-11</sup> exp(-2200/ <i>T</i> )		d
R2 H <sub>2</sub> + O → H + OH	7.0 × 10 <sup>-11</sup> exp(-5000/ <i>T</i> )		e
R3 O + OH → O <sub>2</sub> + H	1.7 × 10 <sup>-11</sup>		f
R4 OH + OH → H <sub>2</sub> O + O	1.0 × 10 <sup>-11</sup> exp(-500/ <i>T</i> )		d
R5 CO + OH → CO <sub>2</sub> + H	1.3 × 10 <sup>-13</sup> (1 + <i>P</i> <sub>atm</sub> )		d
R6 H + H + M → H <sub>2</sub> + M	2.6 × 10 <sup>-33</sup> exp(375/ <i>T</i> )		g
R7 H + CO + M → HCO + M	2.0 × 10 <sup>-33</sup> exp(-850/ <i>T</i> )		h
R8 H + HCO → H <sub>2</sub> + CO	3.0 × 10 <sup>-10</sup>		i
R9 HCO + HCO → H <sub>2</sub> CO + CO	6.3 × 10 <sup>-11</sup>		i
R10 OH + HCO → H <sub>2</sub> O + CO	5.0 × 10 <sup>-11</sup>		h
R11 O + HCO → H + CO <sub>2</sub>	1.0 × 10 <sup>-10</sup>		j
R12 O + HCO → OH + CO	1.0 × 10 <sup>-10</sup>		j
R13 O <sub>2</sub> + HCO → HO <sub>2</sub> + CO	5.0 × 10 <sup>-12</sup>		d
R14 HO <sub>2</sub> + HCO → H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + CO	1.0 × 10 <sup>-11</sup>		k
R15 H + H <sub>2</sub> CO → H <sub>2</sub> + HCO	2.8 × 10 <sup>-11</sup> exp(-1540/ <i>T</i> )		d
R16 OH + H <sub>2</sub> CO → H <sub>2</sub> O + HCO	1.7 × 10 <sup>-11</sup> exp(-100/ <i>T</i> )		d
R17 O + O + M → O <sub>2</sub> + M	9.6 × 10 <sup>-34</sup> exp(480/ <i>T</i> )		e
R18 O + O <sub>2</sub> + M → O <sub>3</sub> + M	9.9 × 10 <sup>-29</sup> <i>T</i> <sup>-2.1</sup>		d
R19 O + O <sub>3</sub> → O <sub>2</sub> + O <sub>2</sub>	1.5 × 10 <sup>-11</sup> exp(-2218/ <i>T</i> )		d
R20 H + O <sub>2</sub> + M → HO <sub>2</sub> + M	1.6 × 10 <sup>-28</sup> <i>T</i> <sup>-1.4</sup>		d
R21 O + HO <sub>2</sub> → OH + O <sub>2</sub>	3.5 × 10 <sup>-11</sup>		d
R22 H + HO <sub>2</sub> → H <sub>2</sub> + O <sub>2</sub>	1.4 × 10 <sup>-11</sup>		ℓ
R23 H + HO <sub>2</sub> → OH + OH	3.2 × 10 <sup>-11</sup>		ℓ
R24 H + HO <sub>2</sub> → H <sub>2</sub> O + O	9.4 × 10 <sup>-13</sup>		ℓ
R25 OH + HO <sub>2</sub> → H <sub>2</sub> O + O <sub>2</sub>	4.0 × 10 <sup>-11</sup>		d
R26 HO <sub>2</sub> + HO <sub>2</sub> → H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + O <sub>2</sub>	2.5 × 10 <sup>-12</sup>		d
R27 H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + O → OH + HO <sub>2</sub>	2.8 × 10 <sup>-12</sup> exp(-2125/ <i>T</i> )		d
R28 H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + OH → H <sub>2</sub> O + HO <sub>2</sub>	1.0 × 10 <sup>-11</sup> exp(-750/ <i>T</i> )		d
R29 H + O <sub>3</sub> → OH + O <sub>2</sub>	1.4 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> exp(-470/ <i>T</i> )		d
R30 OH + O <sub>3</sub> → HO <sub>2</sub> + O <sub>2</sub>	1.6 × 10 <sup>-12</sup> exp(-940/ <i>T</i> )		d
R31 HO <sub>2</sub> + O <sub>3</sub> → OH + 2O <sub>2</sub>	1.1 × 10 <sup>-14</sup> exp(-580/ <i>T</i> )		d

- Engle, H. L. James, B. F. Leonard, Eds. (Geological Society of America, Boulder, Colo., 1962), p. 447. However, according to the preliminary results of J. S. Lewis (personal communication), the composition of degassed volatiles could have been the following: H<sub>2</sub>O, 20 percent; CO<sub>2</sub>, 50 percent; CO, 20 percent; and H<sub>2</sub>, 10 percent by volume. This composition is much more reducing than that used in the calculation.
9. M. B. McElroy, *Chemical Processes in the Solar System: A Kinetic Perspective* (Butterworths, London, 1975); Y. L. Yung and M. B. McElroy, *Science* **203**, 1002 (1979). Analyses of the ratio of deuterium to hydrogen by Y. L. Yung and M. B. McElroy [*Bull. Am. Astron. Soc.* **9**, 497 (1977)] and H. Craig and J. E. Lupton [*Earth Planet Sci. Lett.* **31**, 369 (1976)] indicate that large quantities of H<sub>2</sub> could have escaped from Earth's atmosphere in the past. These results are consistent with an H<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio of  $\sim 10^{-3}$  in the primitive atmosphere. The production and subsequent removal of H<sub>2</sub>CO represents an additional loss of H<sub>2</sub>. However, it is unlikely that such a calculation would yield results outside our current range of estimates for the H<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio.
  10. Sources of rate coefficient data are as follows: (a) See Yung and McElroy (9). (b) Estimated. A mean cross section of  $3 \times 10^{-19}$  cm<sup>2</sup> was derived for the visible band from the theoretical study of P. J. Bruna, R. J. Buenker, S. D. Peyrimhoff [*J. Mol. Struct.* **32**, 217 (1976)]. A. A. Borisov, V. T. Galochkin, S. A. Mulenko, A. N. Oraevskii, E. F. Starodubtsev, A. F. Suchkov [*Sov. J. Quantum Electron.* **8**, 1094 (1978)] have estimated mean cross section of  $1 \times 10^{-20}$  cm<sup>2</sup> for the same band. The values of Bruna *et al.* have been used here. (c) J. G. Calvert, J. A. Kerr, K. L. Demerjian, R. D. McQuigg, *Science* **175**, 751 (1972). (d) W. B. DeMore, *Jet Propulsion Lab. Publ.* 79-27 (1979). (e) J. A. Logan, M. J. Prather, S. C. Wofsy, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London* **290**, 187 (1978). (f) R. T. Watson, personal communication. (g) S. C. Liu and T. M. Donahue, *J. Atmos. Sci.* **31**, 1118 (1974). (h) D. L. Baulch, D. D. Drysdale, J. Duxbury, S. J. Grant, *Evaluated Kinetic Data for High Temperature Reactions* (Butterworths, London, 1976), vol. 3. (i) J. P. Reilly, J. H. Clarke, C. B. Moore, G. C. Pimentel, *J. Chem. Phys.* **69**, 4381 (1978). (j) R. F. Hampson and D. Garvin, Eds., *Reaction Rate and Photochemical Data for Atmospheric Chemistry 1977* (Special Publication 513, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C., 1978). (k) Estimated. (l) W. Hack, H. G. Wagner, K. Hoyermann, *Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem.* **82**, 713 (1978); W. Hack, A. W. Preuss, H. G. Wagner, K. Hoyermann, *ibid.* **83**, 212 (1979).
  11. Note that R7 and R8 constitute an effective cycle for the catalytic recombination of atomic hydrogen. This cycle also accounts for the difference in the computed atomic hydrogen densities at low altitudes between this model and that of J. F. Kastings, S. C. Liu, T. M. Donahue, *J. Geophys. Res.* **84**, 3097 (1979).
  12. The one-dimensional model extends from 0 to 120 km with a vertical resolution of 2 km, based on the numerical scheme developed by M. Allen, Y. L. Yung, and J. W. Waters (in preparation). The equations of continuity are solved for all species. Vertical transport of long-lived species is described in terms of eddy diffusion. For the standard model, a uniform value of the eddy diffusion coefficient ( $K$ ) of  $10^9$  cm<sup>2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup> was chosen. Concentrations of the major species H<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub> were fixed at the lower boundary, and a zero flux was specified for CO. Concentrations of radical species were determined on the basis of their photochemical production and loss rates. A flux of reduced material of  $\sim 10^{10}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup> (mostly as H<sub>2</sub>) is required at the lower boundary, in order to maintain the steady state.
  13. S. C. Wofsy, *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* **4**, 441 (1976).
  14. For H<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios of  $10^{-4}$ ,  $3 \times 10^{-4}$ , and  $3 \times 10^{-3}$ , the H<sub>2</sub>CO rainout rate is  $1.7 \times 10^7$ ,  $4.4 \times 10^7$ , and  $1.0 \times 10^8$  molecule cm<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. For CO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios of  $6 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $1.2 \times 10^{-3}$ , and  $3 \times 10^{-3}$ , the rainout rate is  $4.8 \times 10^8$ ,  $1.2 \times 10^9$ , and  $3.1 \times 10^9$  cm<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>. Adjusting the value of  $k_8$  to  $1 \times 10^{-10}$ ,  $2 \times 10^{-10}$ , and  $5 \times 10^{-10}$  leads to rainout rates of  $1.9 \times 10^9$ ,  $4.5 \times 10^8$ , and  $1.4 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>. Adopting a uniform value for  $K$  of  $10^9$  cm<sup>2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup> leads to a rainout rate of  $2.3 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>, and raising the surface temperature by 10 percent to 317 K leads to a rainout rate of  $8.8 \times 10^7$  cm<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>.
  15. F. P. Fanale, personal communication.
  16. T. Owen, R. D. Cess, V. Ramanathan, *Nature (London)* **277**, 640 (1979).
  17. L. Knauth and S. Epstein, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **40**, 1095 (1976).
  18. L. V. Berkner and L. C. Marshall, *J. Atmos. Sci.* **22**, 225 (1965).
  19. Perhaps the most important question concerning the fate of H<sub>2</sub>CO, once it had been precipitated into the primitive oceans, is its interaction with solar ultraviolet radiation. Preliminary results of G. L. Kok (private communication) indicate that H<sub>2</sub>CO in solution does not exhibit the same absorption spectrum as gaseous H<sub>2</sub>CO. Photolysis for H<sub>2</sub>CO in solution is therefore unlikely, as it undergoes a rapid and almost complete hydrolysis to methylene glycol, CH<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>, and to other products by processes such as the Cannizzaro reaction [J. F. Walker, *Formaldehyde* (Reinhold, New York, 1964)]. These data imply a certain degree of stability for H<sub>2</sub>CO in solution. This question should be investigated further with attention being paid to factors such as pH, the oxidation-reduction state, and the presence of other ultraviolet absorbers in the primitive oceans.
  20. C. Ponnampuram, in *Origin of Prebiological Systems and of Their Molecular Matrices*, S. W. Fox, Ed. (Academic Press, New York, 1965), p. 221.
  21. One of us (J.P.P.) thanks J. C. G. Walker for many helpful discussions. We also thank M. Allen, F. P. Fanale, G. L. Kok, C. B. Moore, J. S. Lewis, and J. J. Morgan for their useful comments. J.P.P. is a NASA National Research Council resident research associate. This work was also supported in part by NASA contract NSG-7376 under the Planetary Atmospheres Program. Contribution 3357 of the Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology.

7 February 1980; revised 17 June 1980

## Ganymede: A Relationship Between Thermal History and Crater Statistics

**Abstract.** An approach for factoring the effects of a planetary thermal history into a predicted set of crater statistics for an icy satellite is developed and forms the basis for subsequent data inversion studies. The key parameter is a thermal evolution-dependent critical time for which craters of a particular size forming earlier do not contribute to present-day statistics. An example is given for the satellite Ganymede and the effect of the thermal history is easily seen in the resulting predicted crater statistics. A preliminary comparison with the data, subject to the uncertainties in ice rheology and impact flux history, suggests a surface age of  $3.8 \times 10^9$  years and a radionuclide abundance of 0.3 times the chondritic value.

Geomorphic evolution of impact craters on planetary surfaces, created over a wide range of geologic time and a wide spectrum of sizes, provides insight into the temporal behavior of endogenic modification processes. This report presents a simple architecture for generating a set of predicted cumulative impact crater curves reflecting endogenic modification processes on Ganymede. The study was motivated by Voyager spacecraft observations of a relative deficiency of large impact craters (Fig. 1).

A thermal history model is specified and related directly to the viscosity history, the functional forms being fairly well known in terms of flow laws for geologic materials (1). The mechanism of crater modification is viscous relaxation, dependent on crater size and the explicit behavior of viscosity with depth. A crater of size  $D$  on the surface of Ganymede will have a limited lifetime that depends explicitly on the time of crater formation. This initial model adopts a practical definition of lifetime in terms of a detectability limit based on a critical crater depth,  $d_c(D)$ . Craters shallower than  $d_c(D)$  are not detectable; the specific value of this parameter is an empirical measure from any photograph and is based on resolution, lighting angle, and albedo variation. The lifetime of a crater,  $L(t, D)$ , is the time differential between creation at time  $t$  and the moment when

crater depth is less than  $d_c(D)$ . A critical time  $T_0(D)$  is that point in geologic history when  $L(t, D)$  extends exactly to the present (2).

The predicted cumulative crater statistic,  $\hat{\Sigma}(D, t)$ , is written as the integral over a differential functional  $N(D, t^*)$  such that

$$\hat{\Sigma}(D, t) \equiv \int_D^\infty N(D', t^*) dD' \quad (1)$$

and is the number of craters of size  $\geq D$  that exist on a surface formed at time  $t$ ; the variable  $t^*$  is  $\max[t, T_0(D)]$ . The interpretation of  $t^*$  in  $N(D, t^*)$  is clear if we envision a surface, formed at time  $t$ , that collects craters to the present. We count those craters in the present epoch, but it is clear that by definition if  $t < T_0(D)$ , craters of size  $D$  on the surface formed at  $t$  will not last to the present and be counted today. Conversely, if  $t > T_0(D)$ , craters of size  $D$  will persist and be counted.

For a thermal history we assume a scenario starting  $4.6 \times 10^9$  years ago with a silicate core containing a chondritic complement of radiogenic heat sources, a liquid water mantle, and a surface temperature,  $T_0$ , of 100 K. At all times in the history of Ganymede, the Rayleigh number for the mantle is supercritical and convection is the dominant mode of heat transport. The freezing time of the liquid water mantle has been described (3). Us-